

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
_	10/528,078	08/30/2005	Toshihiko Ura	061352-0094	2535	
	20277 MCDERMOT	7590 08/28/2007		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	600 13TH STR	OTT WILL & EMERY LLP STREET, N.W. GTON, DC 20005-3096		TSIDULKO, MARK		
	WASHINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2875		
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		08/28/2007	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			TH			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/528,078	URA, TOSHIHIKO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark Tsidulko	2875				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence add	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a not will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this con BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			Ň			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	March 2007.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Th	_					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5 and 6 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) 3 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,5 and 6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 17 March 2005 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Replacement of the Repl	: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ob the drawing(s) be held in abeya the processor is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFF				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have beer au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National S	tage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

6) Other: ___

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

The submission of amendment filed on 6/18/2007 is acknowledged. At this point claims 1,3 and 5 have been amended, claim 4 has been canceled, new claim 6 has been added and claim 2 left unchanged. Thus, claims are at issue in the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Funamoto et al. (US 5,949,505).

Referring to Claim 1 Funamoto et al. disclose (Figs.2-4) a LCD including a light source [22] disposed along the end face of a light guide plate [20], a reflector [23a] enclosing a light source and reflecting a light emitted from the light source to the end face of the light guide and a housing including an upper case [2] and a lower case [3] and an opening portions provided on side walls of upper and lower cases against the reflector [23a].

Referring to Claim 5 Funamoto et al. disclose (Figs.2-4) a lighting unit and a liquid crystal panel [10] disposed on a front of the lighting device.

Art Unit: 2875

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Funamoto et al. (US 5,949,505) in view of Matsuda et al. (US 5,929,950).

Funamoto et al. disclose the instant claimed invention except for convex portion formed on a housing.

Matsuda discloses (Fig.5) a liquid crystal display including a housing having an upper frame [2], a lower frame [8], a convex portion 8a and an opening portion formed on one of surfaces of the convex portion.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to provide the housing of the device of Funamoto et al. with convex portion, as taught by Matsuda, in order to obtain engagement between portions of the housing.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Funamoto et al. (US 5,949,505) in view of (JP 04264488).

Funamoto et al. disclose the instant claimed invention except for housing made of insulative thermally conductive material.

(JP 04264488) discloses a lamp housing made of thermally conductive resin, which, as well known in the art, is an electric insulative material.

Art Unit: 2875

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to provide the housing of the device of Funamoto et al. made of thermally conductive resin, as taught by (JP 04264488), in order to prevent overheating of the device and prevent user from an electrical shock.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 3 is allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

the prior art of record fails to show a housing with a bent portion formed by inwardliy bending a predetermined region an cutting a remaining portion, and a step portion formed by the bent portion, forming opening portion.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3/16/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Funamoto et al. fails to show an opening portion formed to penetrate the housing toward the reflector.

Art Unit: 2875

In response, Fig.1 of Funamoto et al. absolutely clearly shows rectangular opening (through which conductors of the connector [7] are provided) penetrating the housing toward the reflector [23]. One half of this opening is on the sidewall of lower case [3] and second half of the opening is on the sidewall of the upper case [2] (see Fig.3). Also, as shown on Fig.2, an additional opening in the housing is provided for a tape electrode [6].

Applicant argues that Matsuda et al. fails to show that the opening portion is existing when frames 2 and 8 are connected to each other.

In response, one having ordinary skill in the art would have recognized, that the opening [2a] must be wider than width of stamped portion 8a, therefor relation between openings in the frames [2] and [8] is obtained. Also, it is understood, that any desired size of both openings can be provided. Using this structure for connection between upper and lower cases of Funamoto et al. simplifying forming the tooth [4] (stamping, if case is metallic, or molded, if case made of plastic). The tooth, as shown by Funamoto et al., are needed some kind of attachment means to be attached to the sidewalls of the case.

In response to Applicant's argument, that fails to show relationship between the locking lug and a reflector, the lower case of Funamoto et al. has a locking lug near reflector (see Fig.3).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 2875

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Mark Tsidulko whose telephone number is (571) 272-2384. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (571) 272-2378. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M.T.

August 21, 2007

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2000